

(Page 1 through 7) →

Dear: Attorney Mr. Corey F. Finkelmeys
Wisconsin Department of Justice

9-21-2015

Re: Mrs. Roy Mitchell v. Edward F. Wall et al., Case
No. 15-CV-108-WMC, plaintiff today responsi-
ble, proposal, to open minded, Compromi-
se, Settlemental Resolution of pending litig-
ations, in which you're so named as Representative
Counsel.

Goodday, Counselor Mr. Finkelmeys Sir
I plaintiff Mrs. Mitchell so pray that this
pleading so greet's all involved in the
litigating process of this Actions in the
best of Healths and of Spirits I plaintiff
Mrs. Mitchell so come unto you today the
Counselor, in responsible stance towards
possible compromise, Settlement Resolut-
ions, of the Above reference Action, as
well as Case No. 15-CV-426-WMC, Mrs. Roy M-
itchell, v. State of Wisconsin Dept. of Health Services
in which the Courts records today so set for-
th, you're also representative Counsel for Ma-
med, Defendants, in THAT Action in which has
Also NOW - randomly as the Courts Docketing Record
so set's forth been Assigned unto your honorable Chief
Judge William M. Conley's Court as well
(may you please continue on next page) →

DOC NO
REC'D/FILED

2015 SEP 28 AM 9:45

PETER OPPENEER
CLERK US DIST COURT
WD OF WI

(Page 2 of 7) →

plaintiff/ to date responsibly propose this Consolidatory possible to date Consonant, resolutionary Settlement Compromising, proposal toward sound Standings of reserving time, resource and unto Plaintiff's Understanding respect unto the Courts in which Mutual stance is for helpful Streamlining litigative movements of Actions before its reviews, due unto back logs of cases before, is Docketing and in the hope that, parties involved in the cases before its reviews can responsibly, Compromisingly, so resolve the Disputes Among, one another thereby respectfully preserving Courts Valuable time and resource, Mr. Finkelmeier Counselor Sir as plaintiff so set forth in earlier responsible, open mindedly Compromisingly, Standing Settlement resolutionary proposal's unto your office the Courts records so reflect's plaintiff is well aware of Wisconsin Statute 165.08 in which so gives yourself as well as other presiding Representative Counsel for the State of Wisconsin Department of Justice the Discretionary Authority to Compromisingly settle
may you please continue on next page →

(page 3 of 7) →

any Actions in which you so represent, Mr. Finkelmeier, Counselor Sir, as plaintiff, has also so set forth in earlier settlement proposal pleadings unto you, plaintiff has reviewed the Fields v. Smith, 712 F. Supp. 2d 830 (2010) precedence case in which you also presided as Representative Counsel over for the Very Named Defendants due unto the Very meritoriously, Standings in which plaintiff took to so set forth unto this Court concerning the infringements unto her constitutional rights and the Deliberately Indifferently mistreatments in which she was subjected unto denying her treatments for her Gender Identity Disorder Diagnosis in which even yourself Counselor, is well aware of as Representative Counsel in the Fields v. Smith, 712 F. Supp. 2d 830 (2010) case in which has been declared by many Courts as a Serious medical Condition Counselor I would also like to bring unto your Attention as well as the Courts that in April of this year, the federal Department

(may you please continue on next page) →

(Page 16 of 17) →

of Justice Weighed in on a lawsuit brought by a Transgender Georgia inmate, saying that "Failure to provide individualized and appropriate medical care for persons suffering from Gender dysphoria, Violates the Eighth Amendment's prohibition on cruel and unusual punishment" according to a statement of interest filed April 3, 2015, Counselor, Mr. Finkelmeier Sir as the courts records so reflects I have been Denied implementative treatments for a serious medical condition diagnosis G.I.D for years dating back unto year 2012, when the Department of Corrections Very own Hired forensic and Human Services Consultant MMS. Cynthia Osborne in whom's recommendational findings so sets forth I am an excellent candidate for hormone therapies in her final report unto them Dated September 27, 2012 and yet I fear I was immorally and total contrary unto the best of my well being forced to repress my innate Natural born Characteristics and Clinically Diagnostic in which is so clearly, Unethically immorally Counselor Mr. Finkelmeier and again Sir the Courts records too sets forth this fact please see vitally meritorious Exhibits Attached unto the Complaint's at times of filings
(may you please continue on next pages Thank you) →

in which so supports the facts set forth in the Complaint before this court on its meritorious Standings and Constitutional questions merits in which are so identical on its very fabric as previously litigated before the Court in which your Client's State of Wisconsin and its Agencies, practices, and mandated provisions were before the Court on their Constitutional, questional Standings in Precedence Case Fields v. Smith, 712 F.Supp.2d 839 (2010) in which the mitigating Standings of the case again may I please Add are so identical unto the Circumstantial meritorious Standings of these Actions before the Court, Standings in which you are all too well aware of being you were representational Counsel for the State of Wisconsin in reference unto the Wisconsin, Statute § 302.386(5m) in which was declared unconstitutional and the State of Wisconsin Department of corrections in which were being so order by the Statute to Deliberately Indifferently, unconstitutionally Deny Human beings so ordered by the courts to be in their Care in whom suffered a serious medical Condition Diagnosis G.I.D. Deny unto these Human beings the Adequately implementative treatment's in which is before the Courts today in these Actions as well as
(may you please continue on next page)

Page 6 of 7 Please continue on
Reverside Thank you

multiple cases pending both before the eastern District as well as the western District in reference unto the Deliberately Indifferently Continued Unconstitutional question of Cases unto Human being under their care so Awarded into their Custody, receiving federal funding to Assure all Human beings within their Cares regardless of their Clinical, Diagnostic with the Adequate implem-entive, treatments for their Diagnosis, whether the presiding state agencies officials and personnel personally Agree with one's Expre-ssions, and Diagnosis being it is a fact Mr. Finkelmeier, Counselor that there are in fact Taxes payers, from all walks of lives Expressions, Creeds, Diagnosis, Origins, Gender, freedoms of Expression in whom TAXES Dollars Support the, federally funded Assistive programing, and Governmental Based Agencies in whom are recipient's of the federal funding Assistance support of TAXES payers Taxes Dollars from All walks of lives, and Diagnosis including Taxes payers suffering from the Serious medical Condition Diagnosis Gender Identity Disorder Mr. Finkelmeier Counselor, as the Named Depend-ants, representative Counsel in the Fields v. Smith, 712 F.Supp. 2d 830 (2010) and the precedence (may you please continue on Reverside Thank you) →

Page 7 of 8

standing's of that action in which the meritorious standing of these Actions so virtually set's forth yet one again identical, meritorious Standings and Constitutional questions before the Court in which the Court as previously addressed against the Very Named Defendants State of Wisconsin and its Governing Agencies in the precedence Fields V. Smith, 712 F. Supp. 2d 830 (2010), Cameler, the courts Records too the supports the infringement's unto my Constitutional Rights, due unto the Deliberately Indifferently ill-treatment's unto Affording me Adequate treatments for my serious medical Condition Diagnosis G.I.D in which federal funding Assistance were the source of the Coverage of the needed treatmentive implementives plaintiff in reasonable Compromisingly, out of respect unto the Courts in which so Advocate "Streamlined," litigative process in Mutual stance unto the presenting litigative parties before its review on the Actions merits plaintiff once Again propose, "Consolidated" reasonable Settlement compromise in which would so respectfully, Aid all parties involved Valuable, resource time Litigative fee's etc, so plaintiff request Mr. Finkelmeier by November 2, 2015 inreference unto yourself and your Clients Standing of possible reasonable Compromise Settlement Resolutions of these Actions before Court on their merits. 19. ~~Re~~